IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

The Regents of the University of California, NO. C 03-05669 JW

Plaintiff, v.

ORDER APPOINTING RAINER SCHULZ AS A TECHNICAL ADVISOR

Micro Therapeutics Inc. and Dendron GmbH,

Defendants and Third Party Plaintiffs,

V.

Boston Scientific Corp. and Target Therapeutics Inc.,

Third Party Defendants.

At the June 26, 2006 case management conference, the Court orally confirmed with counsel for the respective parties that they have no objections to the appointment of Mr. Rainer Schulz as a "Technical Advisor." Therefore, the Court appoints Rainer Schulz as a Technical Advisor under the following terms:

- 1. Any advice provided to the Court by Mr. Schulz will not be based on any extrarecord information.
- 2. From time to time, the Court may request Mr. Schulz to provide a formal written report on technical advice concerning the case. A copy of the formal written report prepared by Mr. Schulz shall be provided to the parties. The Court, however, reserves the right to have informal verbal communications with Mr. Schulz which are

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1		not included in any formal written report.
2	3.	Mr. Schulz may attend any court proceedings.
3	4.	Mr. Schulz may review any pleadings, motions or documents submitted to the Court.
4	5.	As a technical advisor, Mr. Schulz will make no written findings of fact and will not
5		supply any evidence to the Court. Thus, Mr. Schulz will be outside the purview of
6		"expert witnesses" under Fed. R. Evid. 706. As such, the provisions in Rule 706 for
7		depositions and questioning of expert witnesses will be inapplicable to Mr. Schulz.
8		See Reilly v. United States, 863 F.2d 149, 155-56 (1st Cir. 1988).
9	6.	Mr. Schulz will have no contact with any of the parties or their counsel other than for
10		billing purposes.
11	7.	The parties are directed to pay the reasonable fees charged by Mr. Schulz for his
12		service as a technical advisor to the Court in this case. The parties shall confer to
13		determine an apportionment for purposes of payment of Mr. Schulz's fees. All
14		matters pertaining to the fees of Mr. Schulz are referred to Magistrate Judge Richard
15		Seeborg.
16	8.	Mr. Schulz shall file a declaration that he will adhere to the terms of his appointment.
17		
18		
19		\circ ι
20	Dated: June 26	JAMES WARE
21		United States District Judge
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 Amanda Marie Kessel akessel@goodwinprocter.com Charles G. Curtis ccurtis@hewm.com 3 Chien-Ju Alice Chen achen@Fenwick.Com Christopher T. Holding cholding@goodwinprocter.com Colin G. Sandercock <u>csandercock@proskauer.com</u> 4 David J. Harth dharth@hewm.com 5 Gabrielle E. Bina gbina@hewm.com J. Anthony Downs jdowns@goodwinprocter.com John S. Skilton jskilton@hewm.com 6 John S. Skilton iskilton@hewm.com 7 Julie Lynn Fieber <u>ifieber@flk.com</u> Lynn H. Pasahow lpasahow@fenwick.com 8 Michael Francis Kelleher mkelleher@flk.com Michael J. Shuster mshuster@fenwick.com Michael K. Plimack mplimack@hewm.com 9 Michelle M. Umberger mumberger@hewm.com Patrick E. Premo ppremo@fenwick.com 10 Roland Schwillinski <u>rschwillinski@goodwinprocter.com</u> Sarah C. Walkenhorst swalkenhorst@hewm.com 11 Wendy Lynn Bjerknes Wbjerknes@fenwick.com 12 **Dated: June 26, 2006** 13 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 14 By: /s/ JW Chambers 15 Melissa Peralta **Courtroom Deputy** 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27